arXiv:1012.4436v3 [math.NT] 17 Aug 2012

DISPLAYED EQUATIONS FOR GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

EIKE LAU

Abstract. The Galois representation associated to a p-divisible group over a complete noetherian normal local ring with perfect residue field is described in terms of its Dieudonné display. As a corollary we deduce in arbitrary characteristic Kisin's description of the Galois representation associated to a commutative finite flat p-group scheme over a p-adic discrete valuation ring in terms of its Breuil-Kisin module. This was obtained earlier by W. Kim by a different method.

Introduction

Let R be a complete noetherian normal local ring with perfect residue field k of positive characteristic p and with fraction field K of characteristic zero. For a p-divisible group G over R, the Tate module $T_p(G)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank with a continuous action of the absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G}_K$. We want to describe the Tate module in terms of the Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ associated to G in [Zi2] and [La3], and relate this to other descriptions of the Tate module when R is a discrete valuation ring.

Let us recall that the Zink ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is a subring of the ring of Witt vectors $W(R)$ which is stable under the Frobenius endomorphism f of $W(R)$. Let v be the Verschiebung of $W(R)$ and let $u_0 \in W(R)$ be the unit defined by $u_0 = 1$ if p is odd and by $v(u_0) = 2 - [2]$ if $p = 2$. The components of $\mathscr{P}$ as above are a finite free $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module P, a submodule Q of P such that P/Q is a free R-module, and f-linear maps $F : P \to P$ and $F_1 : Q \to P$ such that the image of F_1 generates P, and $F_1(v(u_0 a)x) = aF(x)$ for $x \in P$ and $a \in \mathbb{W}(R)$. The twist by u_0 is necessary since v does not stabilise $\mathbb{W}(R)$ when $p = 2$.

Let $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be the completion of the strict henselisation of R, let $\tilde{K}$ be an algebraic closure of its fraction field $\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, let $\tilde{R} \subset \tilde{K}$ be the integral closure of $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, and let

$$\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R}) = \varinjlim_{E} \mathbb{W}(R_E)$$

where E runs through the finite extensions of $\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ contained in $\tilde{K}$ and where $R_E \subset E$ is the integral closure of $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Let $\hat{\tilde{R}}$ be the p-adic completion of $\tilde{R}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ the p-adic completion of $\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R})$. We define

$$\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}} = \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R)} P$$

and

$$\hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} = \operatorname{Ker}(\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}} \to \hat{\tilde{R}} \otimes_R P/Q).$$

Let $\bar{K} \subset \tilde{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K and let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$ be the group of automorphisms of $\tilde{K}$ whose restriction to $\bar{K}\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is induced by an element of $\mathcal{G}_K$. The natural map $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K \to \mathcal{G}_K$ is surjective, and bijective when R is one-dimensional since then $\tilde{K} = \bar{K}\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. The following is the main result of this note; see Proposition 3.1.

Date: August 20, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L05 and 14F30.

Theorem A. *There is an exact sequence of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow T_p(G) \longrightarrow \hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}_{\tilde{R}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

If G is connected, a similar description of $T_p(G)$ in terms of the nilpotent display of G is part of Zink's theory of displays. In this case k need not be perfect; see [Me, Proposition 4.4]. The proof is recalled in Proposition 1.1 below.

Assume now in addition that R is a discrete valuation ring. Then Theorem A can be related with the descriptions of $T_p(G)$ in terms of p-adic Hodge theory and in terms of Breuil-Kisin modules as follows.

Relation with the crystalline period homomorphism. Let M_{cris} be the value of the covariant Dieudonné crystal of G over $A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R)$. It carries a filtration and a Frobenius, and by [Fa] there is a period homomorphism

$$T_p(G) \to \operatorname{Fil} M_{\mathrm{cris}}^{F=p}$$

which is bijective if p is odd, and injective with cokernel annihilated by p if $p = 2$. The v-stabilised Zink ring $\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)[v(1)]$ induces an extension $\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})$ of the ring $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ defined above; the extension is trivial if p is odd. Since the v-stabilised Zink ring carries divided powers, the universal property of A_{cris} gives a homomorphism

$$\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}} : A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R) \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R}).$$

Using the crystalline description of Dieudonné displays of [La3], one obtains an A_{cris}-linear map

$$M_{\mathrm{cris}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R}) \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})} \hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}$$

compatible with Frobenius and filtration. We will show that τ induces the identity on $T_p(G)$, viewed as a submodule of $\operatorname{Fil} M_{\mathrm{cris}}$ by the period homomorphism and as a submodule of $\hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} \subset \hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}$ by Theorem A; see Proposition 5.2.

Relation with Breuil-Kisin modules. Choose a generator π of the maximal ideal of R. Let $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[t]]$ and let $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ extend the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ by $t \mapsto t^p$; see below for the case of more general Frobenius lifts. We consider pairs $M = (M, \phi)$ where M is a finite $\mathfrak{S}$-module and where $\phi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear map with cokernel annihilated by the kernel of the map $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ given by $t \mapsto \pi$. Following [VZ], M is called a Breuil window if M is free over $\mathfrak{S}$, and M is called a Breuil module if M is a p-torsion $\mathfrak{S}$-module of projective dimension at most one.

It is known that p-divisible groups over R are equivalent to Breuil windows. This was conjectured by Breuil [Br] and proved by Kisin [Ki1, Ki2] if p is odd, and for connected groups if $p = 2$. The general case is proved in [La3] by showing that Breuil windows are equivalent to Dieudonné displays; here the ring R can be regular of arbitrary dimension. For odd p the last equivalence is already proved in [VZ] for some regular rings, including all discrete valuation rings. As a corollary, commutative finite flat p-group schemes over R are equivalent to Breuil modules. Another proof for $p = 2$, related more closely to Kisin's methods, was obtained independently by W. Kim [K].

Let K_∞ be the extension of K generated by a chosen system of successive p-th roots of π. For a p-divisible group G over R let $T(G)$ be its Tate module, and for a commutative finite flat p-group scheme G over R let $T(G) = G(\bar{K})$. Kisin's and Kim's results include a description of $T(G)$ as a $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-representation in terms of the Breuil window or Breuil module (M, ϕ) associated to G. In the covariant theory it takes the following form:

$$T(G) = \{x \in M^{\mathrm{nr}} \mid \phi(x) = 1 \otimes x \text{ in } \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\sigma, \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}} M^{\mathrm{nr}}\} \tag{1}$$

Here $M^{\mathrm{nr}} = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} M$, and the ring $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is recalled in Section 6 below.

We will show how (1) can be deduced from Theorem A. It suffices to consider the case where G is a p-divisible group. The equivalence between Breuil windows and Dieudonné displays over R is induced by a homomorphism $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{W}(R)$. It can be extended to

$$\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}),$$

which allows to define a map of $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-modules

$$\{x \in M^{\mathrm{nr}} \mid \phi(x) = 1 \otimes x\} \xrightarrow{\tau} \{x \in \hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} \mid F_1(x) = x\}.$$

Since the target is isomorphic to $T(G)$ by Theorem A, the proof of (1) is reduced to showing that τ is bijective; see Proposition 7.4. The verification is easy if G is étale; the general case follows quite formally using a duality argument.

Finally let us recall that the equivalence between Breuil windows and p-divisible groups requires only a Frobenius lift $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ which stabilises the ideal $t\mathfrak{S}$ such that p^2 divides the linear term of the power series $\sigma(t)$. In this case, if K_∞ denotes the extension of K generated by a chosen system of successive $\sigma(t)$-roots of π, we obtain an isomorphism (1) of $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-modules as before; here the ring $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ depends on σ as well.

The author thanks Th. Zink for interesting and helpful discussions.

1. The case of connected p-divisible groups

Let R be a complete noetherian normal local ring with residue field k of characteristic $p \neq 0$, with fraction field K of characteristic zero, and with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. In this section we recall how the Tate module of a connected p-divisible group over R is expressed in terms of its nilpotent display.

Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K and let $\mathcal{G}_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Let $\bar{R} \subset \bar{K}$ be the integral closure of R and let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \bar{R}$ be its maximal ideal. For a finite extension E of K contained in $\bar{K}$ let $R_E = \bar{R} \cap E$, which is a complete noetherian local ring, and let $\mathfrak{m}_E \subset R_E$ be its maximal ideal. We write

$$\hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_E) = \varprojlim_n \hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n); \qquad \hat{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) = \varinjlim_E \hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_E).$$

Let $\bar{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ be the p-adic completion of $\hat{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ and let $\hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ be the p-adic completion of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. We have a surjection $\bar{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) \to \hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$. For a display $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ over R let

$$\bar{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} = \bar{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{W(R)} P; \qquad \bar{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} = \mathrm{Ker}(\bar{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \to \hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \otimes_R P/Q).$$

The functor BT of [Zi1] induces an equivalence of categories between nilpotent displays over R and connected p-divisible groups over R; here $\mathscr{P}$ is called nilpotent if $\mathscr{P} \otimes_R k$ is V-nilpotent in the usual sense. The following is stated in [Me, Proposition 4.4].

Proposition 1.1 (Zink). *Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a nilpotent display over R and let $G = \mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P})$ be the associated connected p-divisible group over R. There is a natural exact sequence of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow T_p(G) \longrightarrow \bar{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} \bar{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $T_p(G) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, G(\bar{K}))$ is the Tate module of G.

The proof of Proposition 1.1 uses the following well-known facts.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be an abelian group.*

(i) *If A has no p-torsion then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A) = \varprojlim A/p^n A$.

(ii) *If $pA = A$ then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ *is zero.*

Proof. The group $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ is isomorphic to $\varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A)$ with transition maps induced by $p : \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding system $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A)$ is isomorphic to A/p^nA with transition maps induced by id_A. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim{}^1 A[p^n] \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A) \to \varprojlim A/p^nA \to 0.$$

Both assertions of the lemma follow easily. □

For a p-divisible group G over R and for E as above we write

$$\hat{G}(R_E) = \varprojlim_n G(R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n); \qquad \hat{G}(\bar{R}) = \varinjlim_E \hat{G}(R_E).$$

Lemma 1.3. *Multiplication by p is surjective on $\hat{G}(\bar{R})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \hat{G}(R_E)$ be given. The inverse image of x under multiplication by p is a compatible system of $G[p]$-torsors Y_n over $R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n$. They define a $G[p]$-torsor Y over R_E. For some finite extension F of E the set $Y(F) = Y(R_F)$ is non-empty, and x becomes divisible by p in $\hat{G}(R_F)$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let E be a finite Galois extension of K in $\bar{K}$. Let

$$\hat{P}_{E,n} = \hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n) \otimes_{W(R)} P; \quad \hat{Q}_{E,n} = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{P}_{E,n} \to \mathfrak{m}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n \otimes_R P/Q).$$

Recall that P is a finite free $W(R)$-module, and P/Q is a finite free R-module. The definition of the functor BT in [Zi1, Thm. 81] gives an exact sequence of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{Q}_{E,n} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}_{E,n} \longrightarrow G(R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the modules $\hat{Q}_{E,n}$ form a surjective system with respect to n, applying $\varinjlim_E \varprojlim_n$ gives an exact sequence of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \longrightarrow \hat{G}(\bar{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}$$

with $\hat{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} = \hat{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{W(R)} P$ and $\hat{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \to \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R P/Q)$. The p-adic completions of $\hat{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ and $\hat{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ are $\bar{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ and $\bar{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$; here we use that $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R P/Q$ has no p-torsion. Moreover $\hat{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ has no p-torsion since $\hat{W}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is contained in the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $W(\bar{K})$. Using Lemmas 1.3 and 1.2, the Ext-sequence of $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ with (1.1) reduces to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \hat{G}(\bar{R})) \longrightarrow \bar{Q}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \bar{P}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The proposition follows since the p^n-torsion of $\hat{G}(\bar{R})$ and $G(\bar{K})$ coincide. □

2. Some frame formalism

Before we proceed we introduce a formal definition. Let $\mathcal{F} = (S, R, I, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ be a frame in the sense of [La2] such that S is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra and σ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear. For an $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ we consider the *module of invariants*

$$T(\mathscr{P}) = \{x \in Q \mid F_1(x) = x\};$$

this is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. Let us list some of its formal properties.

Functoriality in $\mathcal{F}$: Let $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}' = (S', I', R', \sigma', \sigma_1')$ be a u-homomorphism of frames, thus $u \in S'$ is a unit, and we have $\sigma_1'\alpha = u \cdot \alpha\sigma_1$ on I. Assume that a unit $c \in S'$ with $c\sigma'(c)^{-1} = u$ is given. For an $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ as above, the S-linear map $P \to S' \otimes_S P$, $x \mapsto c \otimes x$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map

$$\tau(\mathscr{P}) = \tau_c(\mathscr{P}) : T(\mathscr{P}) \to T(\alpha_*\mathscr{P}).$$

Duality: Recall that a bilinear form of $\mathcal{F}$-windows $\gamma : \mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}' \to \mathscr{P}''$ is an S-bilinear map $\gamma : P \times P' \to P''$ with $Q \times Q' \to Q''$ such that for $x \in Q$ and $x' \in Q'$ we have $\gamma(F_1x, F_1'x') = F_1''(\gamma(x, x'))$. It induces a bilinear map of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules

$T(\mathscr{P}) \times T(\mathscr{P}') \to T(\mathscr{P}'')$. Let us denote the $\mathcal{F}$-window (S, I, σ, σ_1) by $\mathcal{F}$ again. For each $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ there is a well-defined dual $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}^t$ together with a perfect bilinear form $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}^t \to \mathcal{F}$. It gives a bilinear map $T(\mathscr{P}) \times T(\mathscr{P}^t) \to T(\mathcal{F})$. In our applications, $T(\mathcal{F})$ will be free of rank one, and the bilinear map will turn out to be perfect.

Functoriality of duality: For a u-homomorphism of frames $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ with c as above and for a bilinear form of $\mathcal{F}$-windows $\gamma : \mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}' \to \mathscr{P}''$, the base change of γ multiplied by c^{-1} is a bilinear form of $\mathcal{F}'$-windows $\alpha_*\mathscr{P} \times \alpha_*\mathscr{P}' \to \alpha_*\mathscr{P}''$, which we denote by $\alpha_*(\gamma)$; see [La2, Lemma 2.14]. By passing to the modules of invariants we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T(\mathscr{P}) \times T(\mathscr{P}') \arrow[r, "\gamma"] \arrow[d, "\tau(\mathscr{P}) \times \tau(\mathscr{P}')"'] & T(\mathscr{P}'') \arrow[d, "\tau(\mathscr{P}'')"] \\
T(\alpha_*\mathscr{P}) \times T(\alpha_*\mathscr{P}') \arrow[r, "\alpha_*(\gamma)"] & T(\alpha_*\mathscr{P}'').
\end{tikzcd}$$

This will be applied to the bilinear form $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}^t \to \mathcal{F}$.

3. The case of perfect residue fields

Let $R, K, k, \mathfrak{m}$ be as in Section 1. Assume that the residue field k is perfect. As in [La3, Sections 2.3 and 2.7] we consider the frame

$$\mathscr{D}_R = \varprojlim_n \mathscr{D}_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n} = (\mathbb{W}(R), \mathbb{I}_R, R, f, \mathbb{f}_1).$$

Windows over $\mathscr{D}_R$, called Dieudonné displays over R, are equivalent to p-divisible groups G over R by [Zi2] if p is odd and by [La3, Theorem A] in general. The Tate module $T_p(G)$ can be expressed in terms of the associated Dieudonné display by a variant of Proposition 1.1 as follows.

Let R^{nr} be the strict henselisation of R. This is an excellent normal domain by [Gre] or [Se], so its completion $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is a normal domain again. Let $K^{\mathrm{nr}} \subset \hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be the fraction fields of $R^{\mathrm{nr}} \subset \hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, let $\tilde{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, and let $\tilde{R} \subset \tilde{K}$ be the integral closure of $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. We define a frame

$$\mathscr{D}_{\tilde{R}} = \varinjlim_E \varprojlim_n \mathscr{D}_{R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n} = (\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R}), \mathbb{I}_{\tilde{R}}, \tilde{R}, f, \mathbb{f}_1)$$

where E runs through the finite extensions of $\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ in $\tilde{K}$ and where $R_E \subset E$ is the integral closure of $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Since $\tilde{R}$ has no p-torsion, the componentwise p-adic completion of $\mathscr{D}_{\tilde{R}}$ is a frame again, which we denote by

$$\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}} = (\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}), \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{\tilde{R}}, \hat{\tilde{R}}, f, \mathbb{f}_1).$$

Let $\bar{K} \subset \tilde{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K and let $\mathcal{G}_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. The tensor product $\bar{K} \otimes_{K^{\mathrm{nr}}} \hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is a subfield of $\tilde{K}$; see [Ra, Chapitre IX, Théorème 3], with equality if R is one-dimensional, since in any case the etale coverings of the complements of the maximal ideals in $\operatorname{Spec} R^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} \hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ coincide by [El, Théorème 5] or by [Ar, II 2.1]. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$ be the group of automorphisms of $\tilde{K}$ whose restriction to $\bar{K}\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is induced by an element of $\mathcal{G}_K$. This group acts naturally on $\mathscr{D}_{\tilde{R}}$ and on $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}$. The projection $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K \to \mathcal{G}_K$ is surjective, and bijective if R is one-dimensional.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a p-divisible group over R and let $\mathscr{P} = \Phi_R(G)$ be the Dieudonné display over R associated to G in* [La3]. *Let $\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\tilde{R}} = (\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}, \hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}}, F, F_1)$ be* *the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}$. There is a natural exact sequence of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow T_p(G) \longrightarrow \hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}_{\tilde{R}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules

$$\mathrm{per} : T_p(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\tilde{R}})$$

which we call the period isomorphism is display theory.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. For a p-divisible group G over R and for finite extensions E of $\hat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ in $\tilde{K}$ we set

$$\hat{G}(R_E) = \varprojlim_n G(R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n); \qquad \hat{G}(\tilde{R}) = \varinjlim_E \hat{G}(R_E).$$

Multiplication by p is surjective on $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$ by Lemma 1.3 applied over $\hat{R}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. The group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$ acts on the system $\hat{G}(R_E)$ for varying E and thus on $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$. The rings $R_{E,n} = R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n$ are local Artin rings with residue field $\bar{k}$. Thus $R_{E,n}$ lies in the category $\mathcal{J}_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n}$ defined in [La3, Section 9]. Let $\mathscr{P}_{E,n} = (P_{E,n}, Q_{E,n}, F, F_1)$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $R_{E,n}$. Since every ind-étale covering of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{E,n}$ has a section, the definition of the functor BT in [La3, Proposition 9.4] as an ind-étale cohomology sheaf together with [La3, Proposition 9.7] shows that $G(R_{E,n}) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P}_{E,n})(R_{E,n})$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-modules in degrees $-1, 0, 1$

$$C_{E,n} = [Q_{E,n} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} P_{E,n}] \otimes [\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]].$$

Let

$$C_E = \varprojlim_n C_{E,n}; \qquad C = \varinjlim_E C_E;$$

where E runs through the finite extensions of K^{nr} in $\bar{K}$. Since $G(R_{E,n})$ and the components of $C_{E,n}$ form surjective systems with respect to n, the complex C is quasi-isomorphic to $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$. We will verify the following chain of isomorphisms (denoted $\cong$) and quasi-isomorphisms (denoted $\simeq$) of complexes of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-modules, which will prove the proposition. Here Ext^1 is taken component-wise in the second argument.

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(G) &\overset{(1)}{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \hat{G}(\tilde{R})) \overset{(2)}{\simeq} R\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \hat{G}(\tilde{R})) \\ &\overset{(3)}{\simeq} R\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, C) \overset{(4)}{\simeq} \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, C[-1]) \overset{(5)}{\cong} [\hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}] \end{aligned}$$

The torsion subgroups of $G(\bar{K})$ and of $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$ coincide, which proves (1). For (2) we need that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \hat{G}(\tilde{R}))$ vanishes, which is true since p is surjective on $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$; see Lemma 1.2. The quasi-isomorphism between $\hat{G}(\tilde{R})$ and C gives (3). Let $(P_{\tilde{R}}, Q_{\tilde{R}}, F, F_1)$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{D}_{\tilde{R}}$ and let $P_{\bar{k}} = W(\bar{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R)} P$. The complex C can be identified with the cone of the map of complexes

$$[Q_{\tilde{R}} \xrightarrow{F_1-1} P_{\tilde{R}}] \longrightarrow [P_{\bar{k}}[1/p] \xrightarrow{F_1-1} P_{\bar{k}}[1/p]].$$

Since $\tilde{R}$ is a domain of characteristic zero, the ring $\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R}) \subset W(\tilde{R})$ has no p-torsion, and thus the components of C have no p-torsion. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, C)$ vanishes, which proves (4). The p-adic completions of $P_{\tilde{R}}$ and $Q_{\tilde{R}}$ are $\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}$ and $\hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}}$, while the p-adic completion of $P_{\bar{k}}[1/p]$ is zero. Thus Lemma 1.2 gives (5). ☐

4. A variant for the prime 2

We keep the notation and assumptions of Section 3 and assume that $p = 2$. One may ask what the preceding constructions give if $\mathbb{W}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are replaced by their v-stabilised variants $\mathbb{W}^+$ and $\mathscr{D}^+$. Recall that $\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)[v(1)]$ as a subring of $W(R)$, and we have a frame $\mathscr{D}^+_R = (\mathbb{W}^+(R), \mathbb{I}^+_R, R, f, f_1)$ where f_1 is the inverse of v. The $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module $\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)$ is a one-dimensional k-vector space generated by $v(1)$; see [La3, Sections 1.4 and 2.5]. We put

$$\mathscr{D}^+_{\tilde R} = \varinjlim_E \varprojlim_n \mathscr{D}^+_{R_E/\mathfrak{m}_E^n} = (\mathbb{W}^+(\tilde R), \mathbb{I}^+_{\tilde R}, \tilde R, f, f_1)$$

with E as in Section 3, and denote the 2-adic completion of $\mathscr{D}^+_{\tilde R}$ by

$$\hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde R} = (\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde R), \hat{\mathbb{I}}^+_{\tilde R}, \hat{\tilde R}, f, f_1).$$

For a 2-divisible group G over R let G^m be the multiplicative part of G and define G^+ by the following homomorphism of exact sequences of 2-divisible groups:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & G^m \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G^u \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G^m \arrow[r] & G^+ \arrow[r] & G^u \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a 2-divisible group over R with associated Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P} = \Phi_R(G)$. Let $\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde R} = (\hat P^+_{\tilde R}, \hat Q^+_{\tilde R}, F, F_1^+)$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde R}$. There is a natural exact sequence of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow T_2(G^+) \longrightarrow \hat Q^+_{\tilde R} \xrightarrow{F_1^+ - 1} \hat P^+_{\tilde R} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules

$$\mathrm{per}^+ : T_2(G^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde R}).$$

Proof. Let $\bar P_{\bar k} = \bar k \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R)} P$. We will construct the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where $\bar F$ is induced by F:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \hat Q_{\tilde R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_1 - 1"] & \hat Q^+_{\tilde R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_1^+ - 1"] & \bar P_{\bar k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar F - 1"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \hat P_{\tilde R} \arrow[r] & \hat P^+_{\tilde R} \arrow[r] & \bar P_{\bar k} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the Frobenius-linear endomorphism $\bar F$ is nilpotent if G is unipotent, and is given by an invertible matrix if G is of multiplicative type. Thus $\bar F - 1$ is surjective with kernel an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space of dimension equal to the height of G^m, and Proposition 4.1 follows from Proposition 3.1 if the diagram is constructed.

The natural homomorphism $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde R) \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde R)$ is injective and defines a u_0-homomorphism of frames $\iota : \hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde R} \to \hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde R}$ where the unit $u_0 \in \mathbb{W}^+(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is defined by $v(u_0) = 2 - [2]$; see [La3, Section 2.5]. Since u_0 maps to 1 in $W(\mathbb{F}_2)$ there is a unique unit c_0 of $\mathbb{W}^+(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ which maps to 1 in $W(\mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $c_0 f(c_0^{-1}) = u_0$, namely $c_0 = u_0 f(u_0) f^2(u_0) \cdots$; see the proof of [La2, Proposition 8.7].

The cokernel of ι is given by

$$\hat{\mathbb{I}}^+_{\tilde R} / \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{\tilde R} = \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde R)/\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde R) = \bar k \cdot v(1); \tag{4.1}$$

see [La3, Lemma 1.10]. Extend the operator $\mathbb{f}_1$ of $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde R}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde R}$ by $\mathbb{f}_1 = u_0^{-1} f_1$. Then $\mathbb{f}_1$ induces an f-linear endomorphism $\bar{\mathbb{f}}_1$ of $\bar k \cdot v(1)$. We claim that $\bar{\mathbb{f}}_1(v(1)) = v(1)$.

It suffices to prove this formula in $\mathbb{W}^+(\mathbb{Z}_2)/\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$, and thus it suffices to show that $\mathbb{f}_1(v(1))$ does not lie in $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. But $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is stable under the operator $x \mapsto \mathbb{v}(x) = v(u_0 x)$, and $\mathbb{v}(\mathbb{f}_1(v(1)) = v(1)$ does not lie in $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. This proves the claim.

Let us extend the operator F_1 of $\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\tilde{R}}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}}$ by $F_1 = u_0^{-1} F_1^+$. Then we have $c_0(F_1 - 1) = (F_1^+ - 1)c_0$ as homomorphisms $\hat{Q}^+_{\tilde{R}} \to \hat{P}^+_{\tilde{R}}$, and it suffices to construct the above diagram with F_1 in place of F_1^+. Now (4.1) implies that $\hat{Q}^+_{\tilde{R}}/\hat{Q}_{\tilde{R}} = \hat{P}^+_{\tilde{R}}/\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}} = \bar{P}_{\tilde{k}} \cdot v(1)$, which gives the exact rows. Clearly the left hand square commutes. The relation $F_1(ax) = \mathbb{f}_1(a)F(x)$ for $x \in \hat{P}^+_{\tilde{R}}$ and $a \in \hat{\mathbb{I}}^+_{\tilde{R}}$ applied with $a = v(1)$, together with $\bar{\mathbb{f}}_1(v(1)) = v(1)$ shows that the right hand square commutes. ☐

Remark 4.2. The period isomorphisms per and per^+ satisfy $\mathrm{per}^+ = \tau_{c_0} \circ \mathrm{per}$, where $\tau_{c_0} : T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\tilde{R}}) \to T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}})$ is the homomorphism defined in Section 2.

5. THE RELATION WITH A_{cris}

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with perfect residue field k of characteristic p and fraction field K of characteristic zero. In this case our ring $\hat{\tilde{R}}$ is equal to $\hat{\bar{R}}$, the p-adic completion of the integral closure of R in $\bar{K}$. Let $A_{\mathrm{cris}} = A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R)$ and consider the frame[1]

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cris}} = (A_{\mathrm{cris}}, \operatorname{Fil} A_{\mathrm{cris}}, \hat{\bar{R}}, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

with $\sigma_1 = p^{-1}\sigma$. For a p-divisible group G over R let $\mathbb{D}(G)$ be its covariant Dieudonné crystal. The free A_{cris}-module $M = \mathbb{D}(G_{\hat{\bar{R}}})_{A_{\mathrm{cris}}}$ carries a filtration $\operatorname{Fil} M$ and a σ-linear endomorphism F. The operator $F_1 = p^{-1}F$ is well-defined on $\operatorname{Fil} M$, and we get an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$-window $\mathcal{M} = (M, \operatorname{Fil} M, F, F_1)$; see [Ki1, A.2] or [La3, Proposition 3.15]. Faltings [Fa] constructs a period homomorphism

$$\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}} : T_p(G) \to \operatorname{Fil} M^{F=p} = T(\mathcal{M})$$

which is bijective if p is odd; for $p = 2$ the homomorphism is injective with cokernel annihilated by p. More precisely, for $p = 2$ the cokernel is zero if G is unipotent by [Ki2, Proposition 1.1.10], while the cokernel is non-zero if G is non-zero and of multiplicative type; thus the period homomorphism extends to an isomorphism $T_p(G^+) \cong T(\mathcal{M})$ with G^+ as in Section 4.

Let us relate this with the period isomorphisms of Sections 3 and 4. For the sake of uniformity, in the following we write $\mathbb{W}^+ = \mathbb{W}$ etc. if p is odd. Then $\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R}) \to \hat{\bar{R}}$ is a divided power extension of p-adic rings for all p. Recall that A_{cris} is the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the kernel of $\theta : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \hat{\bar{R}}$, where $A_{\mathrm{inf}} = W(\mathcal{R})$, and $\mathcal{R}$ is the projective limit of $\bar{R}/p\bar{R}$ under Frobenius.

Lemma 5.1. *There are unique homomorphisms $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}$ and $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$ of extensions of $\hat{\bar{R}}$ as written below. They commute with Frobenius, and the diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{\mathrm{inf}} \arrow[r, "\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}"] \arrow[d] & \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}) \arrow[d] \\
A_{\mathrm{cris}} \arrow[r, "\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}"] & \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[1] Here we need that $\sigma_1(\operatorname{Fil} A_{\mathrm{cris}})$ generates A_{cris}. But $\xi = p - [\underline{p}]$ lies in $\operatorname{Fil} A_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and $\sigma_1(\xi) = 1 - [\underline{p}]^p/p$ is a unit because $[\underline{p}]$ lies in the divided power ideal $\operatorname{Fil} A_{\mathrm{cris}} + pA_{\mathrm{cris}}$.

Proof. Briefly said, the universal property of A_{cris} gives $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and the lemma explicates its construction. Namely, all elements x of the kernel of $\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})/p^n \to \hat{\bar{R}}/p$ satisfy $x^{p^n} = 0$ due to the divided powers on this ideal. Since the cokernel of the inclusion $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}) \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})$ is annihilated by p, for all x in the kernel of $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})/p^n \to \hat{\bar{R}}/p$ we get $x^{p^{n+1}} = 0$. Thus the universality of the Witt vectors gives a unique homomorphism $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}$ of extensions of $\hat{\bar{R}}/p$, and the universality also implies that $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}$ commutes with the Frobenius and with the projections to $\hat{\bar{R}}$. Since $\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R}) \to \hat{\bar{R}}$ is a divided power extension of p-adic rings, $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}$ extends uniquely to $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$ commutes with the Frobenius because this holds for $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}$. □

Using that $\hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})$ has no p-torsion, it follows that $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is a $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_K$-equivariant strict frame homomorphism

$$\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cris}} \to \hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde{R}}.$$

Now let $\mathscr{P} = \Phi_R(G)$ be the Dieudonné display associated to G. The crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$ gives rise to a $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-window $\Phi^+_R(G)$ by [La3, Theorem 3.17] if p is odd and by [La3, Proposition 3.21] if $p = 2$. Its base change to $\hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde{R}}$ is isomorphic to $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}\,*}(\mathcal{M})$ by the functoriality of $\mathbb{D}(G)$. Let $\iota : \mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$ be the inclusion, which is the identity when p is odd. We have an isomorphism of $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-windows $\iota_*(\mathscr{P}) \cong \Phi^+_R(G)$ by definition if p is odd and by [La3, Theorem 4.9] if $p = 2$. Thus we get an isomorphism of $\hat{\mathscr{D}}^+_{\tilde{R}}$-windows $\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}} \cong \varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}\,*}(\mathcal{M})$, which induces a homomorphism of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules

$$\tau : T(\mathcal{M}) \to T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}})$$

as explained in Section 2.

Proposition 5.2. *The following diagram of $\mathcal{G}_K$-modules commutes up to multiplication by a p-adic unit which is independent of G, and τ is an isomorphism.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_p(G) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{per}"'] & T(\mathcal{M}) \arrow[d, "\tau"] \\
T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\tilde{R}}) \arrow[r, "\tau_{c_0}"] & T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.2. The composition $\tau_{c_0} \circ \mathrm{per}$ extends to an isomorphism $T_p(G^+) \cong T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^+_{\tilde{R}})$ by Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.2. Thus if the diagram commutes, by the properties of $\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ recalled above it follows that τ is an isomorphism. Here we need only that the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank of $T(\mathcal{M})$ is $\leq$ the height of G and that $\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is not bijective when $p = 2$ and G is non-zero of multiplicative type.

Let us prove that the diagram commutes. We start with the case $G = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then per and τ_{c_0} are isomorphisms by Propositions 3.1 and 4.1. We have $T_p(G) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $M = \operatorname{Fil} M = A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ with Frobenius $p\sigma$ so that $T(\mathcal{M}) = A_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\sigma=1} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is the identity of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ by definition. Moreover $\hat{Q}^+_{\tilde{R}} = \hat{P}^+_{\tilde{R}} = \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+_{\tilde{R}}$ with $F_1 = f$, so τ can be identified with the homomorphism $A_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\sigma=1} \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}^+(\tilde{R})^{f=1}$ induced by $\varkappa_{\mathrm{cris}}$. This is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras with source $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and target free of rank 1 as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module by Proposition 4.1. Thus τ is bijective, and it follows that $\tau_{c_0} \circ \mathrm{per} = \rho \cdot \tau \circ \mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ for a well defined $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$.

Let now G be arbitrary. Since the map $\tau_{c_0} \circ \mathrm{per} = \mathrm{per}^+$ is injective with cokernel annihilated by p, the composition $\gamma = p\rho \cdot (\mathrm{per}^+)^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \mathrm{per}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is a well-defined functorial endomorphism of T_pG. We have to show that $\gamma = p$. By [Ta, 4.2], γ comes from an endomorphism γ_G of G; moreover γ_G is functorial in G and compatible with finite extensions of the base ring R inside $\bar{K}$. The endomorphisms γ_G induce a functorial endomorphism γ_H of each commutative finite flat p-group scheme H over a finite extension R' of R inside $\bar{K}$ because H can be embedded into a p-divisible group by Raynaud [BBM, 3.1.1]; cf. [Ki1, 2.3.5] or [La3, Proposition 4.1]. Assume that H is annihilated by p^r and let $H_0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$. There is a finite extension R'' of R' inside $\bar{K}$ such that $H(\bar{K}) = H(R'') = \operatorname{Hom}_{R''}(H_0, H)$. Since $\gamma_{H_0} = p$ it follows that $\gamma_H = p$, and thus $\gamma_G = p$ for all G. $\square$

6. The ring $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$

Let us recall the ring $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ of [Ki1], which is denoted A_S^+ in [Fo]. One starts with a two-dimensional complete regular local ring $\mathfrak{S}$ of characteristic zero with perfect residue field k of characteristic p equipped with a Frobenius lift $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$. Let $\delta : \mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S})$ be the unique ring homomorphism with $\delta\sigma = f\delta$ and $w_0\delta = \mathrm{id}$, and let t be a generator of the kernel of the composition $\mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S}) \to W(k)$. Then $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[t]]$ and $\sigma(t) \in t\mathfrak{S}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the p-adic completion of $\mathfrak{S}[t^{-1}]$ and let $\mathbb{E} = k((t))$ be its residue field. Fix a maximal unramified extension $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$ be its p-adic completion. Let $\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}$ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, let $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}} = \mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S} = k[[t]]$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ be its integral closure. The Frobenius lift σ on $\mathfrak{S}$ extends uniquely to $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$ and induces an embedding

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \xrightarrow{\delta} W(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}) \to W(\bar{\mathbb{E}})$$

with δ as above. One defines $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \cap W(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}})$ inside $W(\bar{\mathbb{E}})$. This ring is stable under σ, and $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} = \varprojlim \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_n$ with $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_n = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}) \cap W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}})$ inside $\mathbb{W}_n(\bar{\mathbb{E}})$. By [Fo, B 1.8.3] we have $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_n = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p^n\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, in particular $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is p-adic.

For completeness we sketch a proof of the relation $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_n = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p^n\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. It is easy to see that there is a commutative diagram with exact rows and injective vertical maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p^{n-1}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p^{n}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_{n} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_{1} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By induction it suffices to show that the projection $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_1$ is surjective. Now $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}}$ is the union of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ over all finite separable extensions $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$, and in each case $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}, \sigma)$ is a free Frobenius module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}}$ that becomes étale over $\mathbb{E}$. Let $M = (\mathfrak{S}^r, \phi)$ be a Frobenius module over $\mathfrak{S}$ that lifts $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}, \sigma)$, so M becomes étale over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The projection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}},\sigma}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}})$$

is surjective by [Fo, A 1.2]. Let $\alpha : \mathfrak{S}^r \to \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}$ be a lift of the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}}$. We claim that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, W(\bar{\mathbb{E}})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, W(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}))$; then this group also coincides with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}})$, so α factors over $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, which implies that $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}_1$ is surjective as desired. The first equality is clear by [Fo, A 1.2]. For the second equality it suffices that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S},\phi}(M, W(\bar{\mathbb{E}})/W(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}))$ vanishes; this is true because every finitely generated Frobenius-stable $\mathfrak{S}$-module in $W(\bar{\mathbb{E}})/W(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}})$ is zero.

7. Breuil-Kisin modules

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with perfect residue field k of characteristic p and fraction field K of characteristic zero. Let $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[t]]$ and let $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a Frobenius lift that stabilises the ideal $t\mathfrak{S}$. We choose a representation $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ where E has constant term p. Let $\pi \in R$ be the image of t, so π generates the maximal ideal of R.

For an $\mathfrak{S}$-module M let $M^{(\sigma)} = \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\sigma,\mathfrak{S}} M$. We consider pairs (M, ϕ) where M is a finite $\mathfrak{S}$-module and where $\phi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear map with cokernel annihilated by E. Following the [VZ] terminology, (M, ϕ) is called a Breuil window (resp. a Breuil module) relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ if the $\mathfrak{S}$-module M is free (resp. annihilated by a power of p and of projective dimension at most one). We have a frame in the sense of [La2]

$$\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, E\mathfrak{S}, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

with $\sigma_1(Ex) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{S}$. Windows $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ over $\mathscr{B}$ are equivalent to Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ by the functor $\mathscr{P} \mapsto (Q, \phi)$ where $\phi : Q \to Q^{(\sigma)}$ is the composition of the inclusion $Q \to P$ with the inverse of the isomorphism $Q^{(\sigma)} \cong P$ defined by $a \otimes x \mapsto aF_1(x)$.

As in [La3, Section 6] let $\varkappa$ be the ring homomorphism

$$\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\delta} W(\mathfrak{S}) \to W(R).$$

Its image lies in $\mathbb{W}(R)$ if and only if the endomorphism of $t\mathfrak{S}/t^2\mathfrak{S}$ induced by σ is divisible by p^2. In this case, $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ is a $\mathbb{u}$-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R$ for the unit $\mathbb{u} = \mathbb{f}_1(\varkappa(E))$ of $\mathbb{W}(R)$, and $\varkappa$ induces an equivalence between $\mathscr{B}$-windows and $\mathscr{D}_R$-windows, which are equivalent to p-divisible groups over R. As a consequence, Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ are equivalent to commutative finite flat p-group schemes over R.

Since $\mathbb{u}$ maps to 1 under $\mathbb{W}(R) \to W(k)$, there is a unique unit $\mathbb{c} \in \mathbb{W}(R)$ which maps to 1 in $W(k)$ with $\mathbb{c}\sigma(\mathbb{c}^{-1}) = \mathbb{u}$. It is given by $\mathbb{c} = \mathbb{u}\sigma(\mathbb{u})\sigma^2(\mathbb{u})\cdots$; see the proof of [La2, Proposition 8.7].

7.1. **Modules of invariants.** For a Breuil module or Breuil window (M, ϕ) relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ we write $M^{\mathrm{nr}} = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} M$ and $M^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} M$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules:

$$T^{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \phi) = \{x \in M^{\mathrm{nr}} \mid \phi(x) = 1 \otimes x \text{ in } \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\sigma,\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}} M^{\mathrm{nr}}\}$$
$$T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \phi) = \{x \in M^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mid \phi(x) = 1 \otimes x \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\sigma,\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}} M^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}\}$$

By [Fo, A 1.2], $T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \phi)$ is finitely generated, and the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \phi) \to \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} M \tag{7.1}$$

is bijective. It is pointed out in [Ki1, Ki2] that the natural map

$$T^{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \phi) \to T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \phi) \tag{7.2}$$

is bijective as well. If (M, ϕ) is a Breuil window, this follows from the proof of [Fo, B 1.8.4]. If (M, ϕ) is a Breuil module, the map (7.2) is injective since the group $X = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}}/\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ has no p-torsion and thus $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathfrak{S}}(X, M)$ is zero. One can find a Breuil window (M', ϕ') and a surjective map $(M', \phi') \to (M, \phi)$. Then $T^{\mathrm{nr}}(M', \phi') \cong T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M', \phi') \to T^{\mathrm{nr}}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \phi)$ is surjective, thus (7.2) is surjective.

7.2. **The choice of K_∞.** Let $\hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ be the maximal ideal of $\hat{\bar{R}}$. The power series $\sigma(t)$ defines a map $\sigma(t) : \hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \to \hat{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$. This map is surjective, and the inverse images of algebraic elements are algebraic by the Weierstrass preparation theorem. Choose a system of elements $(\pi^{(n)})_{n\geq 0}$ of $\bar{K}$ with $\pi^{(0)} = \pi$ and $\sigma(t)(\pi^{(n+1)}) = \pi^{(n)}$, and let K_∞ be the extension of K generated by all $\pi^{(n)}$. The system $(\pi^{(n)})$ corresponds to an element $\underline{\pi} \in \mathcal{R} = \varprojlim \bar{R}/p\bar{R}$, the limit taken with respect to Frobenius.

We embed $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}} = k[[t]]$ into $\mathcal{R}$ by $t \mapsto \underline{\pi}$, and identify $\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ with subfields of $\operatorname{Frac}\mathcal{R}$; thus $W(\bar{\mathbb{E}}) \subset W(\operatorname{Frac}\mathcal{R})$. Then $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \cap W(\mathcal{R})$, and the unique ring homomorphism $\theta : W(\mathcal{R}) \to \hat{\bar{R}}$ which lifts the projection $W(\mathcal{R}) \to \bar{R}/p\bar{R}$ induces a homomorphism

$$pr^{\mathrm{nr}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\bar{R}}.$$

Let us verify that its restriction to $\mathfrak{S}$ is the given projection $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.

Lemma 7.1. *We have* $pr^{\mathrm{nr}}(t) = \pi$.

Proof. The lemma is easy if $\sigma(t) = t^p$ since then $\delta(t) = [t]$ in $W(\mathfrak{S})$, which maps to $[\underline{\pi}]$ in $W(\mathcal{R})$, and $\theta([\underline{\pi}]) = \pi$ in this case. In general let $\delta(t) = (g_0, g_1, \dots)$ with $g_i \in \mathfrak{S}$; these power series are determined by the relations

$$g_0^{p^n} + pg_1^{p^{n-1}} + \cdots + p^n g_n = \sigma^n(t)$$

for $n \geq 0$. Let $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in W(\mathcal{R})$ be the image of t, thus $x_i = g_i(\underline{\pi})$. Write $x_i = (x_{i,0}, x_{i,1}, \ldots)$ with $x_{i,n} = g_i(\underline{\pi})_n \in \bar{R}/p\bar{R}$. If $\tilde{x}_{i,n} \in \hat{\bar{R}}$ lifts $x_{i,n}$ we have

$$pr^{\mathrm{nr}}(t) = \theta(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \bigl((\tilde{x}_{0,n})^{p^n} + p(\tilde{x}_{1,n})^{p^{n-1}} + \cdots + p^n \tilde{x}_{n,n}\bigr).$$

If we choose $\tilde{x}_{i,n} = g_i(\pi^{(n)})$, the sum in the limit becomes $\sigma^n(t)(\pi^{(n)}) = \pi$, and the lemma is proved. $\square$

Since the natural action of $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty} = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_\infty)$ on $W(\mathrm{Frac}\,\mathcal{R})$ is trivial on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ it stabilises $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ with trivial action on $\mathfrak{S}$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$ acts on $T^{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \phi)$ for each Breuil window or Breuil module (M, ϕ).

7.3. **From $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ to Zink rings.** The composition of the inclusion $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to W(\mathcal{R})$ chosen above with the homomorphism $\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}} : W(\mathcal{R}) \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ from Lemma 5.1 is a ring homomorphism

$$\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$$

that commutes with Frobenius and with the projections to $\hat{\bar{R}}$.

Lemma 7.2. *If the image of* $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to W(R)$ *lies in* $\mathbb{W}(R)$*, i.e. if the endomorphism of* $t\mathfrak{S}/t^2\mathfrak{S}$ *induced by* σ *is divisible by* p^2*, the following diagram of rings commutes; the vertical maps are the obvious inclusions.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{S} \arrow[r, "\varkappa"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{W}(R) \arrow[d, "\iota"] \\
\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[r, "\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}"] & \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The assumption $\varkappa(\mathfrak{S}) \subset \mathbb{W}(R)$ is equivalent to $\delta(\mathfrak{S}) \subset \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$; see [La3, Proposition 6.2]. As in the proof of Lemma 7.1 we write $\delta(t) = (g_0, g_1, \ldots)$ with $g_i \in \mathfrak{S}$. We have to show that

$$\varkappa_{\mathrm{inf}}((g_0(\underline{\pi}), g_1(\underline{\pi}), \ldots)) = \iota((g_0(\pi), g_1(\pi), \ldots))$$

in $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$. Again, if $y_{i,n} \in \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ is a lift of $x_{i,n} = g_i(\underline{\pi})_n \in \bar{R}/p\bar{R}$, the left hand side of this equation is equal to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \bigl((y_{0,n})^{p^n} + p(y_{1,n})^{p^{n-1}} + \cdots + p^n y_{n,n}\bigr).$$

We will choose $y_{i,n} \in \mathbb{W}(\tilde{R})$ (no p-adic completion) such that the sum in the limit is equal to $(g_0(\pi), g_1(\pi), \ldots)$ in $\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R})$; this will prove the lemma. In the special case $\sigma(t) = t^p$, thus $g_0 = t$ and $g_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, we can simply take $y_{0,n} = [\pi^{(n)}]$ and $y_{i,n} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$; then the calculation is trivial. In general, let $\delta(g_i) = (h_{i,0}, h_{i,1}, \ldots)$ in $\mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$, so the power series $h_{i,j}$ are determined by the equations

$$h_{i,0}^{p^m} + ph_{i,1}^{p^{m-1}} + \cdots + p^m h_{i,m} = \sigma^m(g_i) = g_i(\sigma^m(t))$$

for $m \geq 0$, and put $y_{i,n} = (h_{i,0}(\pi^{(n)}), h_{i,1}(\pi^{(n)}), \ldots) \in \mathbb{W}(\tilde{R})$. Since the Witt polynomials $w_m(X_0, \ldots, X_m) = X_0^{p^m} + \cdots + p^m X_m$ for $m \geq 0$ define an injective map $\mathbb{W}(\tilde{R}) \subset W(\tilde{R}) \to \tilde{R}^\infty$, we have to show that for $n, m \geq 0$ the following holds.

$$w_m((y_{0,n})^{p^n} + p(y_{1,n})^{p^{n-1}} + \cdots + p^n y_{n,n}) = w_m((g_0(\pi), g_1(\pi), \ldots))$$

The right hand side is equal to $\sigma^m(t)(\pi)$. Since $w_m(y_{i,n}) = g_i(\sigma^m(t)(\pi^{(n)}))$, the left hand side is equal to $\sigma^n(t)(\sigma^m(t)(\pi^{(n)})) = \sigma^{n+m}(t)(\pi^{(n)}) = \sigma^m(t)(\pi)$ too. □

Define a frame

$$\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}} = (\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}, E\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}, \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}/E\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

with $\sigma_1(Ex) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$.

Lemma 7.3. *The element* $\mathbb{u}' = \mathbb{f}_1(\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}(E)) \in \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ *is a unit, and the ring homomorphism* $\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ *is a* $\mathbb{u}'$*-homomorphism of frames* $\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}} : \mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}$.

Proof. Clearly $\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}$ commutes with the projections to $\hat{\tilde{R}}$ and with the Frobenius. For $x \in \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ we compute $\mathbb{f}_1(\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}(Ex) = \mathbb{f}_1(\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}(E)) \cdot f(\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}(x)) = \mathbb{u}' \cdot \varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}(\sigma_1(Ex))$ as required. It remains to show that $\mathbb{u}'$ is a unit. The projection $\tilde{R} \to \bar{k}$ induces a local homomorphism of local rings $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}) \to W(\bar{k})$ that commutes with f and $\mathbb{f}_1$. The composition $\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R}) \to W(\bar{k})$ commutes with Frobenius and is thus equal to the homomorphism $t \mapsto 0$. Thus E maps to p in $W(\bar{k})$, so $\mathbb{u}'$ maps to $\mathbb{f}_1(p) = v^{-1}(p) = 1$ in $W(\bar{k})$, and it follows that $\mathbb{u}'$ is a unit. □

From now on we assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 7.2 are satisfied. Then $\mathbb{u}'$ is the image of $\mathbb{u}$, and we get a commutative square of frames, where the horizontal arrows are $\mathbb{u}$-homomorphisms and the vertical arrows are strict:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{B} \arrow[r, "\varkappa"] \arrow[d] & \mathscr{D}_R \arrow[d] \\
\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}} \arrow[r, "\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}"] & \hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}_K$ acts on $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$ acts on $\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, and $\varkappa^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-equivariant.

7.4. **Identification of modules of invariants.** Now we can state the main result of this section. Let (M, ϕ) be a Breuil window relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ with associated $\mathscr{B}$-window $\mathscr{P}$, and let $\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. By definition we have $T^{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \phi) = T(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ as $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-modules. Let $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{D}}$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{D}_R$ and let $\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}$ be the common base change of $\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{D}}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}$. As in Section 2, multiplication by $\mathbb{c}$ induces a $\mathcal{G}_{K_\infty}$-invariant homomorphism

$$\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}) : T(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \to T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}).$$

We recall that the $\mathcal{G}_K$-module $T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}})$ is isomorphic to the Tate module of the p-divisible group associated to (M, ϕ); see Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 7.4. *The homomorphism* $\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ *is bijective.*

Proof. Let h be the $\mathfrak{S}$-rank of M. The source and target of $\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ are free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of rank h which are exact functors of $\mathscr{P}$; this is true for $T(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ since (7.2) and (7.1) are bijective, and for $T(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}})$ by Proposition 3.1.

Consider first the case where the p-divisible group associated to $\mathscr{P}$ is étale, which means that $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ has $P = Q$, and $F_1 : Q \to P$ is a σ-linear isomorphism. Then a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of $T(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$-basis of P^{nr}, and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of $T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}})$ is a $\hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$-basis of $\hat{P}_{\tilde{R}}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \hat{\mathbb{W}}(\tilde{R})$ is a local homomorphism it follows that $\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is bijective.

Consider next the case $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{B}$, which corresponds to the p-divisible group μ_{p^∞}. Assume that the proposition does not hold for $\mathscr{B}$, i.e. that $\tau(\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is divisible by p. We may replace k be an arbitrary perfect extension since this does not change $\tau(\mathscr{B})$, in particular we may assume that k is uncountable. Let $\mathscr{P}_0$ be the etale $\mathscr{B}$-window that corresponds to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. We consider extensions of $\mathscr{B}$-windows $0 \to \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{P}_1 \to \mathscr{P}_0 \to 0$, which correspond to extensions in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mu_{p^\infty})$. The image of $\tau(\mathscr{P}_1^{\mathrm{nr}})$ provides a splitting of the reduction modulo p of the exact sequence

$$0 \to T(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}}) \to T((\hat{\mathscr{P}}_1)_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}) \to T((\hat{\mathscr{P}}_0)_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}) \to 0$$

and thus the natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mu_{p^\infty}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mu_p) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K_\infty}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mu_p) \tag{7.3}$$

is zero. Now the first arrow in (7.3) can be identified with the obvious homomorphism of multiplicative groups $1 + \mathfrak{m}_R \to K^*/(K^*)^p$; see [La1, Lemma 7.2] and its proof. By our assumption on k its image is uncountable. Since for a finite extension K'/K the homomorphism $H^1(K, \mu_p) \to H^1(K', \mu_p)$ has finite kernel, the kernel of the second map in (7.3) is countable. Thus the composition (7.3) cannot be zero, and the proposition is proved for $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{B}$.

Finally let $\mathscr{P}$ be arbitrary. Duality gives the following commutative diagram; see Section 2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \times T(\mathscr{P}^{t\,\mathrm{nr}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \times \tau(\mathscr{P}^{t\,\mathrm{nr}})"'] & T(\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}}) \arrow[d, "\tau(\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}})"] \\
T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}) \times T(\hat{\mathscr{P}}^t_{\hat{\mathscr{D}}}) \arrow[r] & T(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{\tilde{R}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since (7.2) and (7.1) are bijective, the upper line of the diagram is a perfect bilinear form of free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of rank h. Proposition 3.1 implies that the lower line is a bilinear form of free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of rank h. We have seen that $\tau(\mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is bijective. These properties imply that $\tau(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{nr}})$ is bijective. □

References

[Ar] M. Artin: Etale coverings of schemes over Hensel rings. Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 915–934

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, W. Messing: Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II. Lecture Notes in Math. **930**, Springer Verlag, 1982

[Br] C. Breuil: Schemas en groupes et corps des normes, unpublished manuscript (1998)

[El] R. Elkik: Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **6** (1973), 553–603

[Fa] G. Faltings: Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings. JAMS **12** (1999) 117–144

[Fo] J.-M. Fontaine: Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux. Grothendieck Festschrift II, 249–309, Prog. Math. 87, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990

[Gre] S. Greco: Two theorems on excellent rings. Nagoya Math. J. **60** (1976), 139–149

[K] W. Kim: The classification of p-divisible groups over 2-adic discrete valuation rings. arXiv:1007.1904

[Ki1] M. Kisin: Crystalline representations and F-crystals, *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, 459–496, Progr. Math., Vol. 253, Birkhäuser, 2006

[Ki2] M. Kisin: Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations. Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 587–634

[La1] E. Lau: Tate modules of universal p-divisible groups. Compos. Math. **146** (2010), 220–232

[La2] E. Lau: Frames and finite group schemes over complete regular local rings. Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 545–569

[La3] E. Lau: Relations between crystalline Dieudonné theory and Dieudonné displays. arXiv:1006.2720

[Me] W. Messing: Travaux de Zink. Séminaire Bourbaki 2005/2006, exp. 964, Astérisque **311** (2007), 341–364

[Ra] M. Raynaud: Anneaux Locaux Henséliens. Springer LNM **169** (1970)

[Se] H. Seydi: Sur la théorie des anneaux de Weierstrass I. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **95** (1971), 227–235

[Ta] J. Tate: p-divisible groups. Proceedings of a conference on local fields, Dribergen (1966), 158–183, Springer Verlag, 1976

[VZ] A. Vasiu, Th. Zink: Breuil's classification of p-divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension. arXiv:0808.2792. To appear in: Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Proceeding of Algebraic and Arithmetic Structures of Moduli Spaces, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, September 2007

[Zi1] Th. Zink: The display of a formal p-divisible group. Astérisque **278** (2002), 127–248

[Zi2] Th. Zink: A Dieudonné theory for p-divisible groups. Class field theory—its centenary and prospect, 139–160, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **30**, Math. Soc. Japan 2001

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld
E-mail address: `lau@math.uni-bielefeld.de`